



## **Kashf Journal of Multidisciplinary Research**

#### Vol:01 Issue11 2024

P-ISSN: 3007-1992 E-ISSN: 3007-200X

https://kjmr.com.pk

# PERCEPTIONS OF MEDICAL ETHICS AND PROFESSIONALISM AMONG PRACTICING PHYSICIANS OF AZAD JAMMU AND KASHMIR: A MIXMETHOD STUDY OF ETHICAL DILEMMAS

#### Shingraf waseem

Resident internal medicine, SKBZ/CMH Muzaffarabad

#### Sundus Khalid

Female medical officer at District headquater hospital Neelum, AJK.

#### Sakib Zaheer

Civil medical officer at DHQH Neelum, AJK.

#### Rizwan Ahmed

CMO RHC Sharda, Neelum AJK

#### Poshmal Zahid

House Officer at Services Hospital Lahore

#### Matee Ullah

Resident Physician Mardan Medical Complex Mardan

#### Agib Sajjad

House Officer at Nowshera medical complex Nowshera

#### **Article Info**

Received: 29th Oct,

2024

Review 1: 8th Nov,

2024

Review 2: 10th Nov,

2024

Published: 12th Nov,

2024



#### Abstract

Background: The evolving nature of clinical practice, patient interactions, and advancements in medical technology may influence how practicing physicians perceive and apply medical ethics and professionalism. Aim: Therefore, this study assessed how factors such as experience, specialization, and frequency of ethical dilemmas and modern challenges shape physicians' views on ethics and professionalism. Methodology: A mixmethod survey-based study was conducted among practicing physicians in Azad Jammu and Kashmir using stratified random sampling. Data were collected through self-administered questionnaire assessing perceptions of medical ethics and professionalism. Results: The demographic distribution showed that participants were evenly split between the 31-40 and 41-50 age groups (30% each), with males comprising 50% and females 40% of the sample. Most had 2-5 years (30%) of practice. General practitioners made up 30% while hospitals were the most common workplace (45%). The study found that physicians ranked nonnaleficence (mean = 3.25) as the top ethical principle. There were notable links between nedical specialty and views on non-maleficence, and between years of practice and both autonomy and non-maleficence. Common ethical dilemmas included patient confidentiality (68.8%) and informed consent (62.5%). Although 75% of physicians received ethics training during medical school, only 43.8% engaged in ongoing ethics-focused professional levelopment. Key challenges included managing conflicts of interest, addressing cultural and religious sensitivities, and handling unethical behavior by colleagues. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, physicians prioritized non-maleficence, with significant links between years of practice, medical specialty, and ethical views. Future research should explore how targeted ethics training can further enhance ethical decision-making across specialties.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license https://creativecommons.org /licenses/by/4.0

**Keywords:** Business Models, Emerging Markets, Market Research, Local Partnerships, Innovation Strategies, Competitive Edge, Case Studies, Strategic Considerations, Economic Environment, Cultural Adaptation.

#### Introduction

Medical ethics and professionalism are fundamental to clinical practice, ensuring healthcare remains both competent and morally grounded. The primary ethical principles—autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice—guide physician-patient interactions. Autonomy allows patients to make informed healthcare choices, while beneficence directs physicians to act in the patient's best interest. Non-maleficence requires avoiding unnecessary harm, and justice demands fair allocation of healthcare resources [1]. Professionalism, closely linked to these principles, fosters trust between physicians, patients, and the healthcare system, sustaining the moral foundation of medicine. As new technologies, complex treatments, and rising patient expectations challenge these ethical standards, physicians must balance these interactions with professionalism to ensure patient care remains ethical and clinically sound, despite the rapid evolution of medical practice and societal expectations [2].

Modern healthcare presents numerous ethical challenges that can hinder physicians' ability to consistently uphold medical ethics and professionalism. End-of-life care, for instance, involves complex decisions around withdrawing life-sustaining treatments, requiring a balance between patient autonomy and clinical judgment [3]. The digital age further complicates patient confidentiality, as electronic records and telemedicine increase the risk of data breaches. Informed consent remains challenging, with patients needing to fully grasp the risks and benefits of increasingly complex procedures. Additionally, the allocation of limited resources, like ICU beds or organs, forces physicians into difficult, ethically-charged decisions [4]. High workloads, burnout, and healthcare commercialization can strain doctor-patient relationships, impacting professionalism and ethical decision-making. Financial incentives in healthcare also introduce potential conflicts of interest, possibly compromising patient welfare [5].

Practicing physicians play a crucial role in upholding ethical standards, as their interactions with patients and colleagues directly impact the quality of healthcare. Ethical decision-making is central to clinical practice, influencing patient care management, resolving conflicts, and handling sensitive issues like end-of-life decisions and scarce resource allocation [6]. Physicians' ethical perceptions are essential for maintaining patient trust and satisfaction, as patients rely on their clinicians to act transparently and respect their autonomy. This ethical framework enhances patient care and supports better healthcare outcomes by ensuring decisions are both clinically effective and morally sound. With advancing medical technologies, evolving policies, and shifting social expectations, physicians must engage in continuous professional development to stay aligned with current ethical standards and professional conduct [7]. Frequent ethics education prepares physicians to address new challenges, reinforcing professionalism and supporting a healthcare system founded on clinical excellence and ethical integrity, which bolsters public confidence and promotes patient welfare.

To address the gap in understanding how practicing physicians navigate ethical challenges in complex clinical environments, this study explores the impact of experience, specialization, and the frequency of ethical dilemmas on physicians' perspectives regarding medical ethics and professionalism. Unlike existing research predominantly focuses on medical students and earlycareer physicians, this study emphasizes the evolving ethical views of seasoned practitioners. With years in practice, patient dynamics, and advances in technology potentially shaping ethical perspectives, it is crucial to assess these factors to identify areas where ongoing ethical training and support may enhance healthcare professionals' commitment to high ethical standards. The goal is to emphasize the importance of continuous professional development in upholding medical ethics across all career stages.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A mix-method survey was conducted in 2023 at medical institutes in Azad Jammu and Kashmir to evaluate the perceptions of practicing physicians regarding medical ethics and professionalism. The study included physicians from various specialties with a minimum of two years of experience, excluding medical students, residents, and those with less experience. Stratified random sampling ensured diverse representation across specialties and experience levels, with a sample size of 80 determined using the formula,  $n = (Z^2 \times p \times (1 - p))/E^2$  where the primary outcome variable was the incidence of ethical decision-making failures among physicians. An estimated proportion of 0.5 and a margin of error of 0.05 were used, with adjustments made for a 20% anticipated non-response rate. A structured, self-administered questionnaire based on literature on medical ethics and professionalism collected demographic data (age, gender, years in practice, specialty, and workplace) and assessed views on ethical principles—autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice—as well as professionalism and ethical dilemmas such as informed consent, confidentiality, and resource allocation, using four points Likert-scale questions. Participants also provided information on ethics training during their education and ongoing development, with open-ended questions on specific ethical challenges encountered in practice. The questionnaire's validity and reliability were established through pre-testing with a small physician group to ensure clarity and make necessary content adjustments.

The survey was conducted using online platforms (e.g., Google Forms, Qualtrics) and paper forms in hospitals and clinics. Physicians were recruited through professional networks and medical associations, with informed consent obtained to ensure confidentiality and voluntary participation. Data analysis included descriptive statistics

for participant demographics and ethical perceptions, while chi-square tests assessed relationships between categorical variables like specialty, years of experience, and ethical views. Thematic analysis was conducted to identify common ethical challenges faced by practicing physicians. The data analysis was performed using SPSS software. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of District headquarters hospital AJK, Neelum (Ref: 2188). Participant data were anonymized to protect privacy, and participants were informed that their participation was voluntary and that they could withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences.

#### Results

#### **Demographic distributions of physicians**

Table 1 presents the demographic distribution of participants, including age, gender, years of practice, medical specialty, and workplace setting. Participants were primarily in the 31-40 and 41-50 age groups (30.0% each, 24 participants). The 51-60 age group comprised 20.0% (16 participants), while those aged 61 and above accounted for 10.0% (8 participants). Gender distribution was balanced, with males at 50.0% (48 participants) and females at 40.0% (32 participants). In terms of experience, 30.0% (24 participants) had 2-5 years, 25.0% (20 participants) had 6-10 years, 20.0% (16 participants) had 11-15 years, and 25.0% (20 participants) had 16 or more years. Medical specialties included general practitioners (30.0%, 24 participants), internal medicine (25.0%, 20 participants), surgeons (20.0%,16 participants), pediatricians (15.0%, 12 participants), and psychiatrists (10.0%, 8 participants). Most participants worked in hospitals (45.0%, 36 participants), followed by clinics (35.0%, 28 participants), private practices (15.0%, 12 participants), and other settings (5.0%, 4 participants).

Table 1Distribution of participants based on Age, Gender, Practice, Spatiality and workplace setting

#### AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

AGE RANGE	Count (Percentage)

31-40 YEARS	24 (30.0%)			
41-50 YEARS	24 (30.0%)			
51-60 YEARS	16 (20.0%)			
61 YEARS AND ABOVE	8 (10.0%)			
GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS				
GENDER	Count (Percentage)			
MALE	48 (50.0%)			
FEMALE	32(40.0%)			
YEARS OF PRACTICE DISTRIBUTION				
YEARS OF PRACTICE	Count (Percentage			
2-5 YEARS	24 (30.0%)			
6-10 YEARS	20 (25.0%)			
11-15 YEARS	16 (20.0%)			
16 YEARS AND ABOVE	20 (25.0%)			
MEDICAL SPECIALTY DISTRIBUTION				
MEDICAL SPECIALTY	Count (Percentage)			
GENERAL PRACTICE	24 (30.0%)			
INTERNAL MEDICINE	20 (25.0%)			
SURGERY	16 (20.0%)			
PEDIATRICS	12 (15.0%)			
PSYCHIATRY	8 (10.0%)			
WORKPLACE SETTING DISTRIBUTION				
WORKPLACE SETTING	Count (Percentage)			
HOSPITAL	36 (45.0%)			
CLINIC	28 (35.0%)			
PRIVATE PRACTICE	12 (15.0%)			
OTHER	4 (5.0%)			

#### Perception of physician regarding Ethical principals

The survey results on physicians' perceptions of key ethical principles, measured using a Four point Likert scale, reveal the relative importance they assign to each principle in their practice (Table 2). The principle of non-maleficence, or "non-maleficence," received the highest priority, with a mean score of  $3.25~(\mathrm{SD}=0.73)$ , ranking first among the four principles. Justice, which emphasizes fairness in medical treatment and the equitable allocation of healthcare resources, was ranked second with a mean score of  $3.20~(\mathrm{SD}=0.91)$ . Autonomy, reflecting respect for

patients' rights to make their own decisions, ranked third with a mean score of 3.19 (SD = 0.88). Beneficence, the principle of acting in the best interest of the patient, ranked fourth with a mean score of 3.09 (SD = 0.78). Despite being ranked last, this principle still received relatively high importance, indicating that it remains a key consideration in clinical decision-making. The total distribution of responses showed that 82.8% of the ratings fell in the higher end of the scale (3 or 4).

Table 2Perceptions of key ethical principles among physicians based on four points likert-scale responses

Statement	1	2	3	4	Mean±SD	Rank
I believe that the principle of autonomy (respecting patients' rights to make their own decisions) is fundamental in my practice.	5 (6.2%)	10 (12.5%)	30 (37.5%)	35 (43.8%)	3.19±0.88	III
I believe that beneficence (acting in the best interest of the patient) guides my clinical decisions.	3 (3.7%)	12 (15.0%)	40 (50.0%)	25 (31.3%)	3.09±0.78	IV
I prioritize non- maleficence (do no harm) in my medical practice.	2 (2.5%)	8 (10.0%)	38 (47.5%)	32 (40.0%)	3.25±0.73	I
I believe that justice (fairness in medical treatment and resource allocation) is essential in healthcare.	6 (7.5%)	9 (11.2%)	28 (35.0%)	37 (46.3%)	3.20±0.91	II
Total	16 (5%)	39 (12.2%)	136 (42.5%)	129 (40.3%)		

On likert scale, 1= Strongly disagree, 2= Disagree, 3= Agree and 4= Strongly agree. SD stands for standard deviation.

### Chi square test for relationship of medical specialty and years of practice with ethical perceptions

The results of the chi-square test for the relationship between medical specialty and ethical perceptions are presented in Table 3. The analysis showed that there is no significant relationship between medical specialty and perceptions of **autonomy** ( $\chi^2 = 8.15$ , p = 0.086), **beneficence** ( $\chi^2 = 6.02$ , p = 0.198), or **justice** ( $\chi^2 = 9.87$ , p = 0.099), as their p-values exceed the significance

threshold of 0.05. However, a significant relationship was found between medical specialty and perceptions of **non-maleficence** ( $\chi^2 = 12.45$ , p = 0.031). The chi-square test results for the relationship between years of practice and ethical perceptions are presented in Table 4. The analysis reveals relationships between years of practice and perceptions of both autonomy ( $\chi^2 = 10.23$ , p = 0.017) and non-maleficence ( $\chi^2 = 9.88$ , p = 0.022), indicating that views on respecting patients' autonomy and the importance of "non-maleficence" differ significantly based on the number of years in practice. However, no significant relationships were found for beneficence.

Table 3: Chi-Square test for relationship between medical specialty and ethical perceptions

<b>Ethical Perception</b>	χ² Value	Degrees	of	p-value	Significant ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )
		Freedom			
Autonomy	8.15	4		0.086	No
Beneficence	6.02	4		0.198	No
Non-Maleficence	12.45	4		0.031	Yes
Justice	Justice	4		0.099	No

Table 4: Chi-Square test for relationship between medical specialty and ethical perceptions

<b>Ethical Perception</b>	χ² Value	Degrees of	p-value	Significant (α =	
		Freedom		0.05)	
Autonomy	10.23	3	0.017	Yes	
Beneficence	5.78	3	0.123	No	
Non-Maleficence	9.88	3	0.022	Yes	
Justice	6.45	3	0.091	No	

# Frequency of Ethical Dilemmas Encountered by Physicians

The study explored the frequency of ethical dilemmas encountered by physicians, as shown in Table 5. The most commonly reported ethical dilemma was patient confidentiality, which was encountered by 55 physicians,

representing 68.8% of the respondents. The second most frequent issue was informed consent, reported by 50 physicians (62.5%). Ethical dilemmas related to end-of-life care were experienced by 45 physicians (56.3%). Challenges related to resource allocation were cited by 40 physicians, making up 50% of the sample. Lastly, other ethical dilemmas, which did not fit into the predefined categories, were noted by 20 physicians (25%).

Table 5: Frequency of Ethical Dilemmas Encountered by Physicians

Ethical Dilemma	Frequency (Select all that apply)
Informed Consent	50 (62.5%)
<b>Patient Confidentiality</b>	55 (68.8%)
End-of-Life Care	45 (56.3%)
Resource Allocation	40 (50%)
Other	20 (25%)

The survey results indicate that the majority of physicians received formal training in medical ethics during their medical education. Out of 80 respondents, 60 physicians (75%) reported having received such training, while 20 physicians (25%) did not (Table 6). However, participation in ongoing professional development programs focused on ethics was less common. Only 35 physicians (43.8%) indicated that they had participated in these programs since

becoming practicing physicians, while 45 physicians (56.3%) had not engaged in further ethics-related education.

Table 6: Physicians' Training and Participation in Medical Ethics Education

Questions	Yes	No	Total
Did you receive formal training in medical ethics	60 (75%)	20 (25%)	80 (100%)
during your medical education?			
Have you participated in any ongoing professional	35 (43.8%)	45 (56.3%)	80 (100%)
development programs focused on ethics since			
becoming a practicing physician?			

#### Top three challenges faced by physicians

Many physicians noted the difficulty of navigating conflicts of interest, such as those arising from relationships with pharmaceutical companies or personal financial incentives. They emphasized the challenge of maintaining patient trust and ensuring that external influences do not affect their clinical decisions or compromise their commitment to impartial, patient-centered care.

Physicians frequently encounter situations where patients' cultural or religious beliefs conflict with standard medical practices. These instances often lead to ethical dilemmas when patients or their families request treatments or refuse interventions based on their beliefs, even when these diverge from the medically recommended course of action. Physicians highlighted the challenge of balancing respect for these beliefs while striving to deliver optimal care.

A number of physicians described the ethical challenge of suspecting unethical behavior by colleagues. These situations, which may include issues related to patient care, documentation, or professional conduct, often create discomfort. Physicians articulated apprehensions regarding the potential impact on professional relations, and patient safety and workplace dynamics, making it hard to report such behavior through direct confrontation.

#### Discussion

The demographic attributes of the research contributors reflect current trends in the medical profession, consistent with recent literature. The balance between mid-career and experienced physicians highlights a workforce that blends expertise with adaptability, essential in a rapidly evolving medical landscape. Faerber et al. [8] note that mid-career physicians excel at integrating innovations while leveraging substantial clinical experience. Gender representation is more equitable, aligning with global trends of increased female participation in medicine, though leadership disparities remain [9]. Despite rising numbers of women in the field, inequalities in leadership and pay persist [10]. The distribution of years of experience suggests that clinical decision-making matures over time; early-career graduates often struggle with confidence, while senior physicians face challenges related to changing standards, as indicated by Sox et al. [11]. The variety of medical specialties, particularly the prevalence of general practitioners and internal medicine specialists, underscores the critical role of primary care in early disease detection and management [12].

The survey results revealed that non-maleficence ranked highest among physicians, consistent with literature highlighting its foundational role in medical ethics and patient safety [13]. The emphasis on justice reflects increasing awareness of healthcare inequities, particularly during global health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic, where resource allocation became critical [14]. Although autonomy was ranked third, it underscores the importance of patient-centered care and informed decision-making, emphasizing the need for a balance between patient autonomy and physician guidance [15]. While beneficence received the lowest ranking, its significant score indicates that acting in patients' best interests remains vital. Conflicts can arise between beneficence and autonomy when patient wishes diverge from what physicians consider beneficial [16]. The overall strong commitment to ethical principles, as shown by high Likert scale responses, reinforces that physicians integrate these principles into practice, essential for maintaining trust and ensuring morally sound care [17]. The results of the chi-square analysis provide variability in how ethical perceptions vary across medical areas and experience of practice. The association between medical specialty and perceptions of non-maleficence recommends that various specialties may prioritize the principle of "nonmaleficence" more strongly, likely due to the nature of the risks inherent in their practice. For instance, specialties such as surgery, where the risk for harm is higher due to invasive measures, may emphasize non-maleficence more than others. This supports with results in recent literature indicating that specialties with higher procedural risks tend to focus more on minimizing harm [18, 19]

On the contrary, the significant association between years of practice and perceptions of autonomy and non-

maleficence advise that experience impact how physicians arrange these principles. More knowledgeable physicians might place greater importance on respecting patient autonomy, likely due to increased exposure to complex ethical situations where patient choice and informed consent are important [20]. The importance of nonmaleficence might grow with experience as physicians become more sensitive to the possible harms that could arise from mediations [21]. The lack of a relationship between years of practice and the principles of beneficence or justice may suggest that these values are important at all stages of a physician's career, showing their central role in ethical medical practice. These findings underscore the nuanced ways in which both specialty and experience shape ethical perceptions, offering important implications for ethics training and continuing education in the medical field.

The survey highlighted significant ethical issues in medicine, with patient confidentiality emerging as the greatest challenge. This reflects recent trends concerning data privacy and sensitive information, particularly in the era of digitalization and electronic medical records [22]. The frequent dilemmas surrounding informed consent align with literature emphasizing the complexities of ensuring patients fully comprehend the risks and benefits of medical interventions. Ethical end-of-life care also remains a critical focus, intertwining discussions on patient autonomy, palliative care, and life-sustaining treatment decisions [23]. Resource allocation issues underscore the ongoing challenge of balancing limited healthcare resources with individual patient needs, a concern intensified by recent global health crises [24]. Moreover, ethical dilemmas extend beyond predefined categories, illustrating that medical practice is context-dependent and necessitates adaptable, holistic approaches for effective ethical decision-making.

The survey results reveal a strong foundation of formal ethics education among physicians, with 75% of respondents reporting ethics training during their medical

courses. This aligns with current medical school curricula that incorporate ethics into both theoretical and practical training to prepare future physicians for the complexities of clinical practice [25]. However, the relatively low participation in continuous professional development programs on ethics—only 43.8% of doctors reported engagement—highlights a gap in ongoing ethics education. This is concerning given the evolving nature of medicine, where new ethical challenges arise alongside technological advancements and resource constraints [26]. To address this, it is recommended that continuing ethics education be leveraged as a tool for maintaining ethical competence throughout physicians' careers [27].

Physicians highlighted several key ethical issues, with conflicts of interest—particularly involving pharmaceutical industry and personal finances—being the most significant. These conflicts undermine patient trust and decision-making integrity, emphasizing the need for transparency and institutional policies against undue influence [28]. Cultural and religious sensitivities also pose ethical challenges, requiring physicians to balance respect for patient beliefs with optimal care through effective communication and empathy [29]. Additionally, discomfort in reporting colleagues' unethical behavior complicates the moral imperative to uphold standards, underscoring the importance of fostering accountability and open communication in healthcare teams to promote ethical practice and safety [30]. Future research should explore the impact of ongoing medical ethics education on decision-making across specialties and experience levels, with longitudinal studies examining how evolving healthcare challenges shape ethical perspectives. Integrating ethics training into continuing professional development could further equip physicians to handle complex ethical dilemmas in practice.

#### Conclusion

Our study provides a comprehensive assessment of the demographic characteristics, professional backgrounds, and workplace settings of physicians, along with their perceptions of ethical principles. The results indicate a relatively balanced distribution of gender and professional experience among the participants, with a pronounced emphasis on the principle of non-maleficence. Significant associations were found between medical specialty and non-maleficence, as well as between years of practice and autonomy. These findings suggest that both a physician's experience and specialization significantly influence their ethical perceptions.

#### **Conflict of interests**

All the authors stated that they do not have any conflict of interest

#### Authors' contributions

All the authors have equally participated in this work.

#### References

- Huycke L, All AC. Quality in health care and ethical principles. J Adv Nurs. 2000;32(3):562-71. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2000.01540.x">https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2000.01540.x</a>
- Doernberg S, Truog R. Spheres of morality: The ethical codes of the medical profession. The American Journal of Bioethics. 2023;2;23(12):8-

#### https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2022.2160514

- Nnate DA. Treatment withdrawal of the patient on end of life: An analysis of values, ethics and guidelines in palliative care. Nurs Open. 2021;8(3):1023-9.
  - https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.777
- Gavrin JR. Ethical considerations at the end of life in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 2007;35(2):S85-S94.
  - https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ccm.0000252909.523 16.27
- Tsiachristas A. Financial incentives to stimulate integration of care. Int J Integr Care. 2016;16(4). https://doi.org/10.5334%2Fijic.2532
- Alanazi MA, Shaban MM, Ramadan OME, Zaky ME, Mohammed HH, Amer FGM, et al.

- Navigating end-of-life decision-making in nursing: a systematic review of ethical challenges and palliative care practices. BMC Nurs. 2024;23(1):467. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-02087-5">https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-024-02087-5</a>
- McKenna J, Rosen HD. Competency-based professionalism in anesthesiology: continuing professional development. Can J Anaesth. 2012;59(9):889. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-012-9747-z">https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-012-9747-z</a>
- Faerber A, Andrews A, Lobb A, Wadsworth E, Milligan K, Shumsky R, et al. A new model of online health care delivery science education for mid-career health care professionals. In: Healthcare. Vol. 7, No. 4. Elsevier; 2019. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjdsi.2018.12.002">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjdsi.2018.12.002</a>
- Silver JK, Slocum CS, Bank AM, Bhatnagar S, Blauwet CA, Poorman JA, et al. Where are the women? The underrepresentation of women physicians among recognition award recipients from medical specialty societies. Pm&r. 2017;9(8):804-15.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2017.06.001

- Lips HM. The gender pay gap: Concrete indicator of women's progress toward equality. Anal Soc Issues Public Policy. 2003;3(1):87-109. https://doi.org/10.3389%2Ffpsyg.2015.01400
- Sox HC, Higgins MC, Owens DK, Schmidler GS.
   Medical decision making. John Wiley & Sons;
   2024.
- Schoen C, Osborn R, Huynh PT, Doty M, Peugh J, Zapert K. On The Front Lines Of Care: Primary Care Doctors' Office Systems, Experiences, And Views In Seven Countries: Country variations in primary care practices indicate opportunities to learn to improve outcomes and efficiency. Health Aff (Millwood). 2006;25(Suppl 1):W555-W71. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.25.w555

- Morath JM, Turnbull JE. To do no harm: ensuring patient safety in health care organizations. John Wiley & Sons; 2005.
- Laventhal N, Basak R, Dell ML, Diekema D, Elster N, Geis G, et al. The ethics of creating a resource allocation strategy during the COVID-19 pandemic. Pediatrics. 2020;146(1). https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-1243
- Bernat JL, Peterson LM. Patient-centered informed consent in surgical practice. Arch Surg. 2006;141(1):86-92.

https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.141.1.86

- Quill TE, Brody H. Physician recommendations and patient autonomy: finding a balance between physician power and patient choice. Ann Intern Med.
   1996;125(9):763-9.
   https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-125-9-199611010-00010
- Vikaraman, S.S., Mansor, A.N., Nor, M.Y.M., Alias, B.S. and Gurusamy, V., 2021. Ethical leadership practices and trust among public school leaders in Malaysia. Asian J. Uni. Educ. 17(3), pp.174-191.
- Hoffman GM, Nowakowski R, Troshynski TJ,
  Berens RJ, Weisman SJ. Risk reduction in
  pediatric procedural sedation by application of an
  American Academy of Pediatrics/American
  Society of Anesthesiologists process model.
  Pediatrics. 2002;109(2):236-43.
  https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.109.2.236
- Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. BMJ. 1999;318(7182):527-30.
   https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.318.7182.527
- Shultz MM. From informed consent to patient choice: a new protected interest. Yale LJ. 1985;95:219.

- Bushnell YA. The effects of termination: A
  phenomenological study of the experience of
  black women [Doctoral dissertation]. Capella
  University; 2021.
- Keshta I, Odeh A. Security and privacy of electronic health records: Concerns and challenges. Egypt Inform J. 2021;22(2):177-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eij.2020.07.003
- Johnson SB, Butow PN, Kerridge I, Tattersall MH. Patient autonomy and advance care planning: a qualitative study of oncologist and palliative care physicians' perspectives. Support Care Cancer. 2018;26:565-74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3867-5
- Filip R, Gheorghita Puscaselu R, Anchidin-Norocel L, Dimian M, Savage WK. Global challenges to public health care systems during the COVID-19 pandemic: a review of pandemic measures and problems. J Pers Med. 2022;12(8):1295.
  - https://doi.org/10.3390%2Fjpm12081295
- Aulisio MP, Arnold RM, Youngner SJ, editors.
   Ethics consultation: From theory to practice. JHU
   Press; 2003.

- Ahronheim J, Moreno J, Zuckerman C. Ethics in clinical practice. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2005.
- López L, Dyck AJ. Educating physicians for moral excellence in the twenty-first century. J Relig Ethics. 2009;37(4):651-68. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9795.2009.00406.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9795.2009.00406.x</a>
- Bebeau MJ. Promoting ethical development and professionalism: Insights from educational research in the professions. U St Thomas LJ. 2008;5:366.
- Douglas MK, Rosenkoetter M, Pacquiao DF, Callister LC, Hattar-Pollara M, Lauderdale J, et al. Guidelines for implementing culturally competent nursing care. J Transcult Nurs. 2014;25(2):109-21.
  - https://doi.org/10.1177/1043659614520998
- Fukami T. Enhancing Healthcare Accountability for Administrators: Fostering Transparency for Patient Safety and Quality Enhancement. Cureus. 2024;16(8).
  - https://doi.org/10.7759%2Fcureus.66007