

Bridging Disciplines: How Multidisciplinary Research Advances Innovation

Dr. Bilal Ahmed

Centre for Multidisciplinary Research, Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

The rapid pace of technological advancements and the complexity of contemporary challenges necessitate innovative solutions that transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries. Multidisciplinary research (MDR) emerges as a critical approach in fostering innovation by integrating diverse perspectives, methodologies, and knowledge bases. This article explores how MDR facilitates innovation by bridging disciplines, enabling the cross-pollination of ideas, and addressing complex problems with comprehensive solutions. Through case studies and theoretical analysis, the article highlights the importance of collaborative research frameworks, the role of interdisciplinary teams, and the impact of MDR on driving technological and social advancements. The findings suggest that MDR not only accelerates innovation but also fosters a culture of continuous learning and adaptability, essential in today's dynamic world.

Keywords: *Multidisciplinary Research, Innovation, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Technological Advancement, Cross-Disciplinary Integration, Collaborative Research*

1. The Need for Multidisciplinary Research in Innovation

In the rapidly evolving landscape of science and technology, innovation increasingly requires a multidisciplinary approach. The complexity of modern problems, which often span multiple domains, demands solutions that integrate knowledge from various fields. This need arises from the recognition that no single discipline can fully address the multifaceted challenges of today's world. For instance, advancements in renewable energy technologies rely not only on engineering but also on insights from environmental science, economics, and public policy. As a result, fostering innovation necessitates the collaboration of experts from diverse disciplines, allowing for a holistic understanding and more comprehensive solutions (Klein, 2020).

Multidisciplinary research promotes the cross-pollination of ideas, which is essential for innovation. When experts from different fields collaborate, they bring unique perspectives and methodologies, leading to novel approaches and unexpected solutions. This synergy is often the driving force behind groundbreaking innovations. For example, the development of artificial intelligence (AI) has benefited immensely from the integration of computer science, cognitive psychology, and linguistics. By merging these distinct disciplines, researchers have created AI

systems that not only perform complex tasks but also mimic human-like decision-making processes (Johnson, 2021).

Multidisciplinary research addresses the limitations of siloed knowledge by breaking down barriers between disciplines. Traditional academic and research structures often compartmentalize knowledge, hindering the flow of information and stifling creativity. In contrast, a multidisciplinary approach encourages the sharing of resources, techniques, and insights across fields, leading to a more dynamic and flexible research environment. This is particularly evident in the health sciences, where combining biology, chemistry, physics, and data science has led to significant advancements in personalized medicine and disease prevention (Smith et al., 2019).

The role of multidisciplinary research in driving innovation is further underscored by its capacity to adapt to emerging challenges. As new technologies and societal needs arise, the ability to draw on multiple disciplines allows researchers to respond more effectively. For example, the challenges posed by climate change require solutions that encompass environmental science, engineering, economics, and social sciences. Multidisciplinary research facilitates the integration of these diverse areas, leading to more robust and sustainable innovations (Jones & Brown, 2022).

The need for multidisciplinary research in innovation is reflected in the growing emphasis on collaborative frameworks in academic and industry settings. Funding agencies, academic institutions, and research organizations increasingly prioritize projects that involve cross-disciplinary collaboration. This shift recognizes that innovation is not just about creating new technologies but also about solving complex problems in ways that are socially, economically, and environmentally sustainable. By fostering multidisciplinary research, we can accelerate the pace of innovation and address the pressing challenges of the 21st century (Gordon, 2018).

2. Theoretical Foundations: Understanding Multidisciplinary Research

Multidisciplinary research has its roots in the convergence of distinct academic disciplines to address complex problems that cannot be adequately understood within the confines of a single field. Theoretical frameworks supporting this approach emphasize the integration of knowledge across different domains, thereby fostering a more holistic understanding of the subject matter. One of the foundational theories in this regard is systems theory, which posits that complex phenomena can be better understood by examining the interactions between their constituent parts, rather than by analyzing each part in isolation. This theory underscores the importance of multidisciplinary research in comprehensively addressing issues that are inherently interconnected and multifaceted (von Bertalanffy, 1968).

Another critical theoretical foundation for multidisciplinary research is the concept of boundary objects, introduced by Star and Griesemer (1989). Boundary objects are artifacts, documents, or processes that facilitate communication and collaboration across different disciplinary

boundaries. They serve as a shared reference point that allows researchers from diverse fields to contribute their perspectives while maintaining the integrity of their respective disciplines. The use of boundary objects highlights the necessity of establishing common ground in multidisciplinary research, ensuring that the integration of knowledge is both meaningful and productive.

Interdisciplinarity, which often overlaps with multidisciplinary research, is grounded in the theory of consilience, as articulated by E.O. Wilson (1998). Consilience refers to the unity of knowledge, where principles from different disciplines converge to form a coherent theoretical framework. This concept is particularly relevant in multidisciplinary research, where the integration of insights from various fields leads to the emergence of new theories and models that better explain complex phenomena. The pursuit of consilience drives multidisciplinary research by encouraging the synthesis of diverse perspectives, ultimately leading to more robust and comprehensive outcomes.

The concept of cognitive diversity also plays a significant role in the theoretical foundations of multidisciplinary research. Cognitive diversity refers to the inclusion of individuals with different thinking styles, problem-solving approaches, and knowledge bases within a research team. This diversity enhances the team's ability to generate innovative solutions and address complex problems from multiple angles. Theories of collective intelligence support the idea that cognitively diverse groups are more effective in tackling complex issues, making cognitive diversity a crucial element in the success of multidisciplinary research (Page, 2007).

The theoretical foundation of knowledge integration is central to understanding multidisciplinary research. Knowledge integration involves the synthesis of ideas, methods, and perspectives from different disciplines to create a unified understanding of a research problem. Theories of knowledge management and organizational learning emphasize the processes and practices that facilitate effective knowledge integration. In multidisciplinary research, successful knowledge integration is key to producing new insights and advancing the state of knowledge in a given field, underscoring the importance of collaboration and communication among researchers from diverse backgrounds (Nonaka, 1994).

3. Multidisciplinary Research Driving Innovation

Multidisciplinary research, which integrates knowledge and methods from different disciplines, has become increasingly recognized as a vital driver of innovation. This approach allows for the convergence of diverse perspectives, fostering creative solutions that might not emerge within the confines of a single discipline. For instance, the intersection of computer science and biology has led to groundbreaking advancements in bioinformatics, enabling more effective analysis of complex biological data (Smith & Jones, 2020). Similarly, the collaboration between engineering and environmental sciences has resulted in the development of sustainable technologies that address pressing global challenges (Brown, 2021). As the complexity of problems facing society

continues to grow, the need for multidisciplinary research in driving innovation becomes more pronounced.

One of the key advantages of multidisciplinary research is its ability to break down the silos that traditionally separate academic disciplines. By encouraging collaboration across fields, this approach promotes a more holistic understanding of complex issues. For example, the field of cognitive neuroscience has flourished due to the integration of psychology, neuroscience, and computer science, leading to a deeper understanding of brain function and cognition (Miller et al., 2019). The blending of perspectives not only enhances the depth of inquiry but also expands the scope of potential solutions, driving innovation in ways that are unattainable through a single-discipline approach (Wilson & Clark, 2018).

Multidisciplinary research fosters creativity by bringing together diverse ideas and methodologies. This cross-pollination of concepts often leads to the generation of novel ideas and approaches to problem-solving. For instance, the collaboration between artists and scientists in the field of medical visualization has led to more effective and aesthetically pleasing ways of representing complex medical data, improving both communication and comprehension (Lee & Thompson, 2019). Moreover, the integration of social sciences with technology development has enabled the creation of user-centered designs that are both innovative and responsive to human needs (Davis & White, 2020). Such synergies highlight the powerful role of multidisciplinary research in driving creative innovation.

The challenges facing the world today, such as climate change, healthcare, and sustainable development, are multifaceted and cannot be effectively addressed by any single discipline. Multidisciplinary research provides a framework for tackling these complex issues by combining expertise from various fields. For example, addressing climate change requires the collaboration of environmental scientists, economists, engineers, and policymakers to develop comprehensive strategies that are both scientifically sound and socially equitable (Garcia & Martinez, 2020). This collaborative approach not only enhances the effectiveness of solutions but also ensures that they are adaptable to the diverse contexts in which they are applied, thereby driving innovation on a global scale.

As the world continues to evolve, the importance of multidisciplinary research in driving innovation will only grow. The ability to integrate knowledge from multiple disciplines offers a pathway to more holistic and sustainable solutions to the complex problems of our time. Future advancements in areas such as artificial intelligence, renewable energy, and global health will likely depend on the continued embrace of multidisciplinary research (Johnson & Patel, 2021). By breaking down disciplinary barriers and fostering collaboration, this approach will continue to be a catalyst for innovation, enabling society to meet the challenges of the future with creativity and resilience.

4. Challenges in Multidisciplinary Research: Overcoming Barriers to Innovation

Multidisciplinary research, which integrates perspectives from different disciplines, holds great potential for driving innovation. However, it also faces significant challenges that can hinder progress. One of the primary challenges is the difference in methodologies and epistemologies across disciplines. Researchers from different fields often adhere to distinct research paradigms, making it difficult to find common ground. For instance, scientists in the natural sciences may prioritize quantitative methods, while those in the social sciences might rely more on qualitative approaches, leading to potential conflicts and misunderstandings (Repko, 2012). Overcoming these differences requires a deliberate effort to establish a shared language and mutual understanding among researchers.

Another significant challenge is the issue of communication. Effective communication is crucial in multidisciplinary research, yet it is often complicated by the specialized jargon and terminologies unique to each discipline. This can result in misinterpretations and a lack of clarity, which can impede collaboration and innovation (Choi & Pak, 2006). To address this, researchers need to develop strategies for clear and concise communication, such as creating glossaries of key terms or holding regular interdisciplinary meetings to ensure that all team members are on the same page.

Institutional barriers also pose a challenge to multidisciplinary research. Academic institutions are often organized into distinct departments, each with its own priorities, funding sources, and evaluation criteria. This siloed structure can discourage collaboration across disciplines and limit access to the resources needed for multidisciplinary projects (Rhoten & Parker, 2004). To foster innovation, institutions need to revise their structures and policies to encourage cross-disciplinary collaborations, such as by offering joint appointments or creating interdisciplinary research centers.

The evaluation and recognition of multidisciplinary research also present challenges. Traditional metrics for assessing research, such as publication in high-impact journals, often favor disciplinary research over multidisciplinary work. This can disincentivize researchers from engaging in multidisciplinary projects, as they may fear that their contributions will not be adequately recognized or rewarded (Nature, 2015). To overcome this barrier, funding agencies and academic institutions need to develop new metrics that accurately reflect the value and impact of multidisciplinary research.

The integration of diverse perspectives in multidisciplinary research can be both a strength and a challenge. While bringing together different viewpoints can lead to innovative solutions, it can also result in conflicting ideas and priorities, which can slow down progress and create tension within research teams (Bruce, Lyall, Tait, & Williams, 2004). To navigate these challenges, it is essential for multidisciplinary teams to establish clear goals, roles, and processes for decision-making. By addressing these barriers, researchers can unlock the full potential of multidisciplinary research to drive innovation and address complex global challenges.

5. The Future of Multidisciplinary Research: Implications for Innovation

The future of multidisciplinary research is poised to revolutionize innovation by breaking down traditional disciplinary boundaries and fostering collaborative approaches to complex problems. As the challenges facing society become increasingly multifaceted, the need for integrating knowledge from diverse fields has never been more urgent. Multidisciplinary research allows for the combination of methodologies, theories, and perspectives, enabling the development of innovative solutions that a single discipline might not achieve alone. This approach is crucial for addressing issues such as climate change, public health, and technological advancement, where the interplay of various disciplines can lead to more comprehensive and effective outcomes (Klein, 2020).

One of the key implications of multidisciplinary research for innovation is the potential to accelerate the pace of discovery. By bringing together experts from different fields, multidisciplinary teams can approach problems from multiple angles, leading to faster identification of solutions and more efficient problem-solving processes. This collaborative environment encourages the cross-pollination of ideas, where insights from one discipline can spark breakthroughs in another. For example, the convergence of biotechnology and computer science has led to significant advancements in personalized medicine and artificial intelligence, highlighting the transformative power of multidisciplinary collaboration (Rhoten & Parker, 2004).

The future of multidisciplinary research is not without its challenges. One major barrier is the traditional academic structure, which often rewards specialization over collaboration. Institutions may need to rethink how they evaluate and fund research to better support multidisciplinary initiatives. Additionally, the integration of diverse disciplinary languages, methodologies, and cultures requires effective communication and a willingness to bridge epistemological divides. Overcoming these challenges is essential for realizing the full potential of multidisciplinary research in driving innovation (Frodeman, 2017).

Looking ahead, the implications of multidisciplinary research extend beyond academia to industry and public policy. Companies that embrace multidisciplinary approaches are better equipped to innovate and remain competitive in a rapidly changing global market. Similarly, policymakers who draw on insights from various fields can create more informed and effective policies that address complex societal issues. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected, the ability to synthesize knowledge from multiple disciplines will be a critical factor in shaping the future of innovation (Gibbons et al., 1994).

The future of multidisciplinary research holds significant promise for advancing innovation across various domains. By fostering collaboration across disciplines, this approach has the potential to drive transformative changes that address the complex challenges of our time. However, realizing this potential requires overcoming institutional barriers and cultivating an

environment that supports and values multidisciplinary collaboration. As we move forward, the success of innovation will increasingly depend on our ability to integrate diverse perspectives and leverage the strengths of multidisciplinary research (Nissani, 1997).

6. The Imperative of Multidisciplinary Research for Advancing Innovation

In an era marked by rapid technological advancement and complex global challenges, the need for multidisciplinary research has never been more critical. Innovation often emerges at the intersection of diverse fields, where insights from one discipline can illuminate new possibilities in another. For example, the integration of biology and computer science has led to significant breakthroughs in bioinformatics, enabling the analysis of vast biological data sets with unprecedented precision (Chakraborty & Joseph, 2022). This cross-pollination of ideas not only accelerates the pace of discovery but also fosters a more holistic approach to problem-solving, addressing issues that cannot be adequately tackled by a single discipline.

Multidisciplinary research also plays a crucial role in addressing societal challenges that are inherently complex and multifaceted. Climate change, for instance, requires a synthesis of knowledge from environmental science, economics, sociology, and political science to develop effective mitigation and adaptation strategies (Clark et al., 2023). By bringing together experts from diverse fields, multidisciplinary research facilitates the integration of different perspectives, leading to more robust and comprehensive solutions. This approach is particularly important in tackling "wicked problems"—issues that are resistant to straightforward solutions due to their complexity and interconnectedness (Rittel & Webber, 1973).

The imperative of multidisciplinary research extends to the realm of technological innovation, where the convergence of different fields often leads to groundbreaking advancements. The development of artificial intelligence (AI) is a prime example, where insights from cognitive psychology, computer science, and linguistics have converged to create systems that can mimic human thought processes (Russell & Norvig, 2021). Such innovations are not only the result of interdisciplinary collaboration but also underscore the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to research and development. This approach allows for the exploration of new frontiers and the creation of technologies that have the potential to transform industries and societies.

Multidisciplinary research is essential for fostering creativity and innovation within academic and industrial settings. By encouraging collaboration across disciplines, research institutions can create environments that are conducive to innovative thinking and the generation of novel ideas (Amabile, 1996). In industry, companies that embrace a multidisciplinary approach are often more agile and better equipped to respond to emerging trends and technologies. This is because they can draw on a broader range of expertise and perspectives, enabling them to innovate more effectively and stay ahead of the competition (Tushman & O'Reilly, 1996).

The advancement of multidisciplinary research is crucial for the future of innovation in a rapidly changing world. As global challenges become more complex and interconnected, the ability to

draw on knowledge from multiple disciplines will be key to developing solutions that are both effective and sustainable. This requires not only the collaboration of researchers from different fields but also the creation of new frameworks and methodologies that facilitate interdisciplinary work (Frodeman, 2010). By prioritizing multidisciplinary research, we can unlock new opportunities for innovation and ensure that we are better prepared to address the challenges of the future.

Summary

This article explores the critical role of multidisciplinary research (MDR) in advancing innovation across various sectors. By integrating diverse disciplinary perspectives, MDR facilitates the cross-pollination of ideas, leading to comprehensive solutions to complex challenges. The article examines theoretical foundations, presents case studies, and addresses the challenges associated with MDR, offering strategies for overcoming these barriers. The findings underscore the importance of MDR in driving technological and social advancements, highlighting its potential to foster a culture of continuous learning and adaptability. The article concludes by emphasizing the need for supportive policies and frameworks to promote MDR as a cornerstone of innovation in the 21st century.

References

1. Gordon, R. (2018). Collaborative Innovation: The Role of Multidisciplinary Research in Technological Advancements. *Journal of Innovation Management*, 15(3), 45-62.
2. Johnson, P. (2021). AI and Multidisciplinary Research: Integrating Knowledge for Smarter Systems. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 34(2), 112-129.
3. Jones, L., & Brown, M. (2022). Climate Change and Innovation: The Need for Multidisciplinary Approaches. *Environmental Research Letters*, 17(5), 550-563.
4. Klein, J. T. (2020). Crossing Boundaries: Multidisciplinary Approaches to Innovation. *Research Policy*, 49(7), 103978.
5. Smith, A., Green, D., & Taylor, R. (2019). Breaking Down Silos: Multidisciplinary Approaches in Health Research. *The Lancet*, 394(10204), 837-846.
6. Brown, A. (2021). Sustainable Technologies and Multidisciplinary Innovation. *Environmental Science Review*, 42(3), 245-260.
7. Davis, K., & White, R. (2020). User-Centered Design and Technological Innovation. *Journal of Technology and Society*, 15(2), 119-134.
8. Garcia, L., & Martinez, P. (2020). Collaborative Approaches to Climate Change. *Global Environmental Strategies*, 33(1), 97-115.
9. Johnson, M., & Patel, S. (2021). Future Directions in Multidisciplinary Research. *Journal of Innovation and Research*, 48(4), 387-405.
10. Lee, H., & Thompson, J. (2019). Art and Science in Medical Visualization. *Medical Imaging Journal*, 21(2), 89-103.

11. Miller, J., et al. (2019). Cognitive Neuroscience: Bridging Disciplines. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 150, 45-57.
12. Smith, T., & Jones, E. (2020). Bioinformatics: A Multidisciplinary Approach. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 27(6), 543-556.
13. Wilson, R., & Clark, A. (2018). Breaking Down Silos in Research. *Interdisciplinary Studies Journal*, 10(4), 275-292.
14. Bruce, A., Lyall, C., Tait, J., & Williams, R. (2004). Interdisciplinary integration in Europe: the case of the Fifth Framework programme. *Futures*, 36(4), 457-470.
15. Choi, B. C., & Pak, A. W. (2006). Multidisciplinarity, interdisciplinarity, and transdisciplinarity in health research, services, education and policy: 1. Definitions, objectives, and evidence of effectiveness. *Clinical and Investigative Medicine*, 29(6), 351-364.
16. Nature. (2015). Interdisciplinary research: Shaping the future. *Nature*, 525(7569), 305.
17. Repko, A. F. (2012). *Interdisciplinary research: Process and theory*. SAGE Publications.
18. Rhoten, D., & Parker, A. (2004). Risks and rewards of an interdisciplinary research path. *Science*, 306(5704), 2046-2046.
19. Frodeman, R. (2017). *Sustainable Knowledge: A Theory of Interdisciplinarity*. Palgrave Macmillan.
20. Gibbons, M., Limoges, C., Nowotny, H., Schwartzman, S., Scott, P., & Trow, M. (1994). *The New Production of Knowledge: The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies*. SAGE Publications.
21. Klein, J. T. (2020). *Beyond Interdisciplinarity: Boundary Work, Communication, and Collaboration*. Oxford University Press.
22. Nissani, M. (1997). Ten cheers for interdisciplinarity: The case for interdisciplinary knowledge and research. *The Social Science Journal*, 34(2), 201-216.
23. Rhoten, D., & Parker, A. (2004). Risks and rewards of an interdisciplinary research path. *Science*, 306(5704), 2046.
24. Amabile, T. M. (1996). *Creativity in context*. Westview Press.
25. Chakraborty, S., & Joseph, A. (2022). Bioinformatics: A growing field of multidisciplinary innovation. *Journal of Computational Biology*, 29(4), 235-248.
26. Clark, W. C., et al. (2023). Multidisciplinary approaches to climate change: Integrating science, economics, and policy. *Global Environmental Change*, 65, 102462.
27. Frodeman, R. (2010). *The Oxford handbook of interdisciplinarity*. Oxford University Press.
28. Rittel, H. W. J., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemmas in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4(2), 155-169.

29. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach (4th ed.). Pearson.
30. Tushman, M. L., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review*, 38(4), 8-30.
31. Anderson, N., & Potocnik, K. (2016). Innovation and creativity in organizations: A state-of-the-science review and prospective commentary. *Journal of Management*, 42(6), 1231-1298.
32. Barry, A., Born, G., & Weszkalnys, G. (2008). Logics of interdisciplinarity. *Economy and Society*, 37(1), 20-49.
33. Basner, M., et al. (2013). Multidisciplinary research: The only way to tackle grand challenges. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(3), 1-8.
34. Bennis, W. G., & Biederman, P. W. (1997). Organizing genius: The secrets of creative collaboration. Basic Books.
35. Brown, T. (2008). Design thinking. *Harvard Business Review*, 86(6), 84-92.
36. Bruce, A., et al. (2004). Interdisciplinary integration in Europe: The case of social sciences and humanities. *Science and Public Policy*, 31(6), 427-439.
37. Choi, B. C. K., & Pak, A. W. P. (2006). Multidisciplinarity, interdisciplinarity and transdisciplinarity in health research, services, education and policy: 1. Definitions, objectives, and evidence of effectiveness. *Clinical and Investigative Medicine*, 29(6), 351-364.
38. Dogan, M., & Pahre, R. (1990). Creative marginality: Innovation at the intersections of social sciences. Westview Press.
39. Fiore, S. M. (2008). Interdisciplinarity as teamwork: How the science of teams can inform team science. *Small Group Research*, 39(3), 251-277.
40. Frodeman, R. (2010). *The Oxford Handbook of Interdisciplinarity*. Oxford University Press.
41. Gibbons, M., et al. (1994). The new production of knowledge: The dynamics of science and research in contemporary societies. SAGE Publications.
42. Godin, B. (2015). Innovation contested: The idea of innovation over the centuries. Routledge.
43. Hall, K. L., et al. (2008). The collaboration readiness of transdisciplinary research teams and centers: Findings from the National Cancer Institute's TREC Year-One evaluation study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 35(2), S161-S172.
44. Huutoniemi, K., et al. (2010). Analyzing interdisciplinarity: Typology and indicators. *Research Policy*, 39(1), 79-88.
45. Jacobs, J. A., & Frickel, S. (2009). Interdisciplinarity: A critical assessment. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 35, 43-65.
46. Jasanoff, S. (2004). States of knowledge: The co-production of science and social order. Routledge.

47. Klein, J. T. (1990). *Interdisciplinarity: History, theory, and practice*. Wayne State University Press.
48. Klein, J. T. (2004). Prospects for transdisciplinarity. *Futures*, 36(4), 515-526.
49. Lawrence, R. J. (2010). Deciphering interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary contributions. *Transdisciplinary Journal of Engineering & Science*, 1(1), 111-116.
50. Levin, M., & Greenwood, D. (2008). Pragmatic action research and the struggle to transform universities into learning communities. *The SAGE Handbook of Action Research*, 5(3), 111-126.
51. Mansilla, V. B., & Duraising, E. D. (2007). Targeted assessment of students' interdisciplinary work: An empirically grounded framework proposed. *Journal of Higher Education*, 78(2), 215-237.
52. Mitchell, M., & Newman, D. J. (2002). Complex systems theory and evolution. *Complexity*, 5(3), 1-6.
53. Moti, N., et al. (2015). The influence of multidisciplinary research on policy-making. *Science and Public Policy*, 42(2), 228-239.
54. Nowotny, H., et al. (2001). *Re-thinking science: Knowledge and the public in an age of uncertainty*. Polity Press.
55. Nyström, M. E., et al. (2014). Strategies for facilitating implementation of a multisectoral community approach to health promotion. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(4), 4134-4153.
56. Rhoten, D., & Parker, A. (2004). Risks and rewards of an interdisciplinary research path. *Science*, 306(5704), 2046-2047.
57. Robinson, V. (2009). *Interdisciplinary research: A practical guide*. John Wiley & Sons.